

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/478,387 06/07/95 GRAY J 028723-061

HM12/0924

R DANNY HUNTINGTON BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS PO BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

EX	AMINER
MAR	RSCHEL, A
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
165	5 12
	00.404.40

DATE MAILED:

09/24/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERK	OD FOR RESPONSE:
a) Mod incover	nded to runer continues to run from the date of the final rejection
_	
b) expire:	s three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
purpos	stension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. ate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the ses of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR ill be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appeliant's	Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1,192(a),
	response to the final rejection, filed 8/25/99 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed e application in condition for allowance:
1. The pro	posed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. 🔲 T p	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
Ь. 🔲 Т	hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. 🔲 1	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. 🔲 🦪	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. 🗀 1	They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
2. Newly the non	proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling -allowable claims.
3. Dupon the be as for	ne filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 💢 will be entered 🗌 will not be entered and the status of the claims will sillows:
Claims	allowed:Mne
	objected to:
Claims	rejected:
NCI .	However; plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): those on bottomats at the instact
<u>cu</u>	ims privingly isected over Rowley at d. (P/N 5487970)
4. ☑ The affi	davit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of
5. The affice present	davit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier led.
The propose	d drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

!

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1655.

1655

Further Explanation of Item 4 on the attached Advisory Action:

Claims 1 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weissman et al., taken in view of Lichter et al. and Drabkin et al.

This rejection is modified in that arguments of applicants have persuasively removed Rowley et al.(P/N 5,487,970) as a basis of this rejection but Drabkin et al. remains a basis for this rejection regarding embodiments directed to chromosome 3 targets which are deemed separate optional distinct targets. The instant claims are interpreted as being directed to chromosome 3 and/or 17 targets thus resulting in some claim embodiments being directed to only chromosome 3 targets which are rejected as summarized above. This is therefore a relaxation of the rejection as previously stated and limited only to chromosome 3 embodiments. Applicants have not argued as to the basis of not granting priority as discussed of record and therefore the priority is still not deemed properly granted to the 1986 priority documents.

No claim is allowd.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61

(November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unseccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 23, 1999

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER